

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/281,365		03/30/1999	DARREN D. NEUMAN	5201-19401	7152
24319	75	90 06/03/2004		EXAM	INER
LSI LO	GIC C	ORPORATION	LEE, PING		
1621 BARBER LANE MS: D-106 LEGAL				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILPITA				2644	P
				DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/281,365	NEUMAN, DARREN D.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Ping Lee	2644		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>22 March 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.** 

1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.	$\boxtimes$	The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.	$\boxtimes$	A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.	$\boxtimes$	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	$\boxtimes$	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Regarding item 8 above, claim 20 requires a period at the end of the claim. Furthermore, it is unnecessary to list the cancelled claims in the appendix because only those involve in the appeal are required to be listed.

Regarding item 4 above, the brief fails to explain the claimed invention. Providing a copy of claim 1 is not a concise explanation of the claimed invention. The summary should refer to the specification by page and line number in addition to the drawing. Furthermore, the copy of Fig. 1 on p. 3 of the brief should be under the heading "Summary of The Invention". The headings "Prior Proceedings" and "Summary of Cited Art" and their contents are improper according to 37 CFR 1.192 (c). See MPEP 1206.

Regarding item 6 above, the brief fails to state that the claims do not stand or fall together. Since the brief listed the claims in three separate groups and contains three separate headings corresponding to the listed three groups under "Argument", examiner believed that appellant implied that the claims do not stand or fall together. However, the brief does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief (37 CFR 1.192(c)(7)).

Ping Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2644